

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,362 11/26/2003		11/26/2003	Bo-Lennart Johansson	PU9951	6183
22840	7590	08/05/2005		EXAMINER	
		SCIENCES	THERKORN, ERNEST G		
	DEPARTM TENNIAL A		*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PISCATA	PISCATAWAY, NJ 08855			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/723,362	JOHANSSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	,—						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 15-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 15-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

SK SK

Application/Control Number: 10/723,362

Art Unit: 1723

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 15-16, drawn to an anion-exchanger.

Group II, claim(s) 17-18, drawn to a method of testing.

Group III, claim(s) 19-21, drawn to a method of removing salt.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 15 is either obvious or anticipated by Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) in view of either Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) or Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598). Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the inventions does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction is appropriate.

During a telephone conversation with Stephen Ryan on July 27, 2005, an election was made to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 15-16.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

Application/Control Number: 10/723,362

Art Unit: 1723

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) in view of either Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) or Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598). At best, the claims differ from Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) in reciting use of a spacer. Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) (column 1, lines 34-40) discloses that a spacer increases the distance between the matrix and the ligand to counteract steric interference. Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) (column 6, lines 9-34) discloses spacers between amino groups functioning as ligands and a carrier material yield unexpected results with a higher yield. more reproducible result, more specific adsorption, and a purer product. It would have been obvious to use a spacer in Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) either because Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) (column 1, lines 34-40) discloses that a spacer increases the distance between the matrix and the ligand to counteract steric interference or because Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) (column 6, lines 9-34) discloses spacers between amino groups functioning as ligands and a carrier material yield unexpected results with a higher yield, more reproducible result, more specific adsorption, and a purer product.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,362

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723 Page 4

EGT August 1, 2005